

## REMARKS

### *Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112*

5 The examiner has rejected claims 5 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention with respect to the usage of “units,” “characters” and “pixels.” In response, page 5 of the specification has been amended to more clearly explain that the units selected as X and Y for defining the position of the display window on the screen need not necessarily be limited to a single pixel or a single character position, but that those units may be defined to be any number of pixels, any number of character positions, or only a portion of a character position. Claims 5 and 14 have also been amended to refer to the character “position” instead of “step.” No new matter has been introduced by this amendment.

### *Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102*

15 The examiner has rejected claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication 2001/0026285 A1 to Toffolo, et al. In response, the applicants point out that the Toffolo publication is directed toward movement of a single video image, preferably the entire screen. “[I]mage 30 could be any image on display 22, and is preferably the entire image on display 22, including any icons, text or other images.” Paragraph 0011, lines 9-11. By contrast, the present invention is directed toward movement of a second, small preferably textual video image that is overlaid onto a larger background image. In particular, in the present invention, the background video image is not moved at all—as it is in Toffolo. Instead, only the small overlay is moved. Toffolo does not disclose nor suggest movement of a second video image relative to a first image one as disclosed and claimed herein. Claim 10 has


been amended to more particularly point out this distinction, and new claims 19 and 20 have also been added.

***Conclusion***

In view of the above, it is submitted that all claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections is requested as discussed above. Allowance of claims 1-20 at an early date is solicited.

Dated: August 22, 2003.

Respectfully Submitted,

By   
MARK D. MILLER  
No. 32,277  
Customer No. 25265  
Kimble, MacMichael & Upton  
5260 N. Palm Ave., Ste. 221  
Fresno, California 93704  
(559) 435-5500

789614.amend2.2